Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of	`	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
		CC Docket No. 92-237
Administration of the	í	Phase I
North American Numbering Plan)	\smile
Notice of Inquiry)	

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE AMERITECH OPERATING COMPANIES

The Ameritech Operating Companies¹ hereby submit these Reply

Comments in response to Phase I of the Federal Communications Commission's

Notice of Inquiry in the above-captioned docket.²

A. Administration of the North American Numbering Plan (NANP)

Many parties agree with the view of the Commission and the Companies that Bellcore has done an excellent job of administering the North American Numbering Plan — a job which has clearly become more difficult as the demand for numbering resources has burgeoned.³ The Companies have fully explained why Bellcore is an efficient, effective administrator of the NANP, and they will not repeat those comments here.⁴

Other comments evince a widespread belief that the current arrangement would be improved if it were modified — perhaps by creating some advisory or

No. of Copies rec'd 25 List A B C D E

¹The Ameritech Operating Companies ("Companies") are: Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Incorporated, Michigan Bell Telephone Company, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company and Wisconsin Bell, Inc.

²Administration of the North American Numbering Plan, Notice of Inquiry, CC Docket No. 92-237, 7 FCC Rcd 6837 (released October 29, 1992) (NOI).

³See, e.g., Comments of American Personal Communications at 1; Comments of Bell Canada at 3; Comments of Centel at 2; Comments of North Pittsburgh Telephone at 1-2.

⁴Comments of the Ameritech Operating Companies at 4-5.

oversight group⁵ — or if it were replaced with a new arrangement altogether.⁶ If the Commission proceeds with a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on this issue, the Companies invite careful consideration of at least three issues which were highlighted in the Comments filed by various parties in this proceeding.

First, costs for NANP administration must be recovered from the industry as a whole, not just from the RBOCs. Fortunately, virtually all commenters acknowledge that the RBOCs should no longer fund NANP activities by themselves. Instead, all NANP costs must be recovered from the users of numbering resources, either on a basis of cost-causation,⁷ customer count,⁸ or some other pro rata measure. Even if NANP administration remains with Bellcore, the Commission should pursue GTE's suggestion that the entire industry as a whole share in the funding of the NANPA.⁹

Second, any successor to Bellcore's numbering administration responsibilities must be extraordinarily competent. Contrary to McCaw's observation, the NANP administrator must have more than a rudimentary understanding of telephones and mathematics. ¹⁰ If the NANP administrator is to be anything more than an "administrative law judge" who collects facts and submits them to the Commission or some other body for resolution, it must have unquestioned expertise in the industry. As Bellcore points out, it must also be able to exercise leadership and initiative while building consensus in an often

(Page # 2 missing)

⁵Comments of GTE at 7; Comments of Sprint at 2-6.

⁶Comments of AT&T at 4-5; Comments of Teleport at 5-6.

⁷Comments of Cox at 11.

⁸Comments of NARUC at 4.

⁹Comments of GTE at 11.

¹⁰Comments of McCaw at 16.

fragmented industry.¹¹ Further, it must be able to coordinate numbering policy with the 17 other nations in World Zone 1. The Commission – together with other member nations of World Zone 1 – must take great care in selecting a new numbering administrator.

Third, the Commission should cautiously approach the various proposals to allow industry boards or committees to actively manage Bellcore's administration of the NANP.¹² The Companies are concerned that this arrangement could make Bellcore and its owners legally liable for implementing the policy directives of others, especially given the "increasingly litigious nature of requests for numbering resources."¹³ Also, it is not clear whether an industry board — made up of representatives from all industry segments — could reach the concensus needed to resolve numbering issues.

B. <u>Number Portability</u>

The Companies continue to support the concept of "number portability", i.e., the ability of end users to retain their assigned number when they switch service providers. As the Companies explain in their Comments, they currently offer at least two forms of local number portability. First, customers can — and frequently do — purchase call forwarding services so that they can retain their "old" number after they move from one exchange to another. For example, a business with the number 248-1234 may move to the other side of town, and be assigned a new number, 727-1234. By purchasing a central office-based call forwarding service, customers of this business would be able to dial 248-1234 and

¹¹Comments of Bellcore at 3-4.

¹²See, e.g., Comments of National Cable Television Association at 3.

¹³Comments of McCaw Cellular at 8.

be transparently forwarded to 727-1234. This arrangement would be equally effective for a business which moved from the Companies' service to the service of a competitive provider.

Second, the Companies have deployed direct inward dialing (DID) trunks for use with PBXs and alternative provider switches. These trunks permit customers to obtain blocks of numbers without ordering an equivalent number of lines. The end office switch routes all incoming calls directly to the other switch so that it can route the call to the appropriate station on the customer's premises. Because the routing functionality is resident in the end office switch, when one of the Companies' Centrex customers moves to a competitively-provided switch, that customer can retain its numbers.

It is clear that several commenters have in mind a different view of "number portability." Specifically, MPS and Teleport envision a gigantic version of the 800 Database system — where every originating seven-digit call is routed to a distant database to determine the "presubscribed" carrier before being returned to the point of origination. It is not clear whether customer demand and customer willingness to pay would support such an elaborate system. Nor is it clear whether the costs of such a system justify its deployment. There is certainly nothing in the record so far which substantively addresses these complex questions. Up to this point, all the record contains are conclusory assertions on these points. The Commission should continue to monitor the number

¹⁴Comments of MFS at 6.

portability issue, but this effort must begin with an understanding of the exact nature of the customer need and customer benefits which are at issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark R. Ortlieb Floyd S. Keene

Mark R. Ortlieb

Larry A. Peck

Attorneys for the Ameritech

Ameritech Operating Companies 2000 W. Ameritech Center Drive

Room 4H84

Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60196-1025

708/248-6064

Date: February 24, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Diana M. Lucas, do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing Reply Comments were sent via first class mail, postage paid, to the following on this 24th day of February 1993:

By: Usana M. Lucas Kak

SERVICE LIST

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Aldrich
Keck, Mahin & Cate
Attorneys for American Public
Communciations Council
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Penthouse Suite
Washington, D.C. 20005-3919

James L. Casey
Air Transport Association
of America
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

Francine J. Berry
R. Steven Davis
American Telephone and Telegraph
Company
Room 3244Jl
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920-1092

John L. Bartlett
Robert J. Butler
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
Attorneys for Aeronautical
Radio, Inc.
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Michael S. Slomin Bell Communications Research Inc. 290 West Mount Pleasant Avenue Livingston, NJ 07039 Roy L. Morris
Allnet Communication Services, Inc.
1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036

Dr. Lee L. Selwyn
Economics and Technology, Inc.
Consultant for Ad Hoc Telecommunications
Users Committee
One Washington Mall
Boston, MA 02108

J. Barclay Jones American Personal Communications 1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

James S. Blaszak
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
Attorney for Ad Hoc Telecommunications
Users Committee
1301 K Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

Jonathan D. Blake
Ellen K. Snyder
Covington & Burling
Attorneys for American Personal
Communications
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Post Office Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044

William J. Cowan General Counsel New York State Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223 M. de B. Brown Pacific Telesis Group 130 Kearny Street, Room 3659 San Francisco, CA 94108

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Aldrich
Keck, Mahin & Cate
Attorneys for North American
Telecommunications Association
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Penthouse Suite
Washington, D.C. 20005-3919

James L. Wurtz Pacific Telesis Group 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

G. A. Gorman General Manager North Pittsburgh Telephone Company 4008 Gibsonia Rd. Gibsonia, PA 15044-9311 Judith St. Ledger-Roty
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
Attorney for Paging Network, Inc.
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mary McDermott Campbell L. Ayling New England Telephone and Telegraph Company 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605 Linda D. Hershman Vice President The Southern New England Telecommunications Corporation 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510

James P. Tuthill Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Telesis Group 140 New Montgomery St., Room 1523 San Francisco, CA 94105 James D. Ellis William J. Free Mark P. Royer Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Corporation One Bell Center, Room 3524 St. Louis, MO 63101-3099 Daniel L. Bart Attorney for GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N.W., Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Mark R. Hamilton Marsha Olch McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc. 5400 Carillon Point Kirkland, WA 98033

Darrell S. Townsley
The Illinois Commerce Commission
160 North LaSalle Street
Suite C-800
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Andrew D. Lipman
Russell M. Blau
Attorneys for MFS Communications
Company, Inc.
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

Angela Burnett
Assistant General Counsel
Information Industry Association
555 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001

Paul Rodgers
Charles D. Gray
James Bradford Ramsay
National Association of Regulatory
Utility Commissioners
1102 ICC Building
P.O. Box 684
Washington, D.C. 20044

Judith St. Ledger-Roty
Lynn E. Shapiro
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay
Attorneys for Intellicall, Inc.
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Daniel L. Brenner
David L. Nicoll
Attorneys for National Cable
Television Association, Inc.
1724 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Loretta J. Garcia
Donald J. Elardo
Attorneys for MCI Telecommunications
Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Steven E. Watkins
David Cosson
National Telephone Cooperative
Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Bruce D. Jacobs Glenn S. Richards Fisher, Wayland, Cooper & Leader Attorneys for AMSC Subsidiary Corporation 1255 23rd Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037

Lon C. Levin Attorney for AMSC Submidiary Corporation 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

John M. Goodman Charles H. Kennedy Attorneys for Bell Atlantic 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

H.R. Burrows Associate Director-Network Resource Research Planning & Standards Research F4, 160 Elgin Street Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA KIG 3J4

William B. Barfield Thompson T. Rawls II BellSouth Corporation Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30367-6000

Michael F. Altschul Michele C. Farquhar Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association Two Lafayette Centre, Suite 300 1133 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

A. A. Kurtze Centel Corporation 8725 Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Thosdore D. Frank Vonva B. McCann Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn Counsel for Centel Corprostion 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5339

Thomas E. Taylor Christopher J. Wilson Frost & Jacobs Attorneys for Cincinnati Bell Telephone Company 2500 Central Trust Center 201 East Fifth St. Cincinnati, OH 45202

Werner K. Hartenberger J.G. Harrington Laura H. Phillips Dow, Lohnes & Albertson Attorneys for Cox Enterprises, Inc. 1255 23rd Street, Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20037

708 248 6013

Jay C. Keithley
Leon Kestenbaum
Phyllis Whitten
Sprint Corporation
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

Martin T. McCus Linda Kent United States Telephone Association 900 19th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-2105

W. Richard Morris Sprint Corporation P.O. Box 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112 Mark H. Goldberg Unitel Communciations, Inc. 200 Wellington Street West Toronto, Ontario M5V 3C7 CANADA

D. Kelly Daniels Telco Planning 808 The Pittock Block 921 S.W. Washington Portland, OR 97205 Jeffrey S. Bork Attorney for US West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036

Alex J. Harris Manager, Regulatory Affairs Teleport Communications Group One Teleport Drive Staten Island, NY 10311-1011 David C. Henny Whidbey Telephone Company 2747 E. State Highway 525 Langley, Washington 98260-9799

R. Michael Senkowski
Jeffrey S. Linder
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
Attorneys for Telocator, The Personal
Communications Industry Association
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006